

Sustainable Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries in the Bioeconomy A Challenge for Europe

4th SCAR Foresight Exercise



Long-term experts Erik Mathijs (Belgium) Gianluca Brunori (Italy) Michael Carus (Germany) Michel Griffon (France) Luisa Last (Switzerland)

Short-term experts Margaret Gill (UK) Tiina Koljonen (Finland) Eva Lehoczky (Hungary) Ingrid Olesen (Norway) Antje Potthast (Austria)

Purpose

- To identify emerging research questions
- To anticipate future **innovation challenges**
- To support the implementation of the European Bioeconomy strategy
- To explore what might happen by developing the Bioeconomy Paradigm within the fundamental constraint of sustainability

Key questions

- How are the primary sectors affected by/can they contribute to/ the implementation of the Bioeconomy Strategy and CAP reform?
- How can the bioeconomy improve food security, environmental quality and other societal challenges?
- How should innovation in the bioeconomy be implemented? What are the opportunities and risks for the different sectors, social groups and regions?

The bioeconomy concept

 Bio-economy or bio-based economy "... encompasses the production of *renewable* resources and their conversion into food, feed, bio-based products and bio-energy. It includes agriculture, forestry, fisheries, food and pulp and paper production, as well as parts of chemical, biotechnological and energy industries" (EC, 2012)

Two premises

- 1. Biomass is underexploited:
 - 1. Too much waste not used optimally
 - 2. More material and energy can be extracted from current biomass streams
- 2. The biomass potential can be upgraded by
 - 1. Closing yield gaps
 - 2. Introducing new or improved species
 - 3. Introducing new and improved extraction and processing technologies

Bioeconomy scenarios

		Supply growth of biomass		
		Low	medium	high
Demand growth for biomass for materials & energy	low		A – BIO- MODESTY	
	medium			
	high	C – BIO- SCARCITY		B – BIO- BOOM

Scenario A: BIOMODESTY

- Modest growth in demand for biomass for non-food use
- Possible reasons:
 - Biobased solutions not competitive
 - Alternative solutions break through fast (e.g., cheap solar)

Scenario B: BIOBOOM

- High growth in demand for non-food uses and high growth in supply of biomass
- Possible reasons:
 - Alternative technologies slow and biobased technologies competitive
 - Limited resistance towards new technologies and products (e.g., insects, algae)
 - Africa rising

Scenario C: BIO-SCARCITY

- High growth in demand for non-food uses, but low growth in supply of biomass
- Possible reasons:
 - Alternative technologies slow and biobased technologies competitive
 - Climate change negative impact on supply
 - Resistance against biotech, insects, etc.

Conclusions from scenarios

- Topics are **robust** no new topics are to be put on the agenda
- However, priorities will be different in different scenarios, as they represent different challenges and opportunities related to the bioeconomy
- Key insight is the importance of **governance**
- Important regional differences apply

Conclusions from scenarios

- Bio-modesty: pull-effect of bioeconomy disappears, urgency to develop bio-based technologies decreases, other ('third') pathways (next to fossil and bio) exist
- **Bio-scarcity**: governance extremely important, social and political issues high on agenda
- **Bio-boom**: high-throughput system, ecosystem carrying capacity high on agenda

Recommendations: Bioeconomy Principles

Bioeconomy principles should be reflected in research & innovation agenda:

- Food first
- Sustainable yields
- Cascading
- Circularity
- Diversity

Scope & Themes

- Broadening scope
 - Horizontally: simultaneous consideration of all sources of biomass to optimize synergies and minimize threats
 - Vertically: integration of upstream and downstream sectors into research addressing primary sectors
- Thematic areas: 8 themes



KIS for the bioeconomy

- Challenge-oriented in addition to curiosity-driven
- Transdisciplinary = transcending pre-existing disciplines and methodologies
- Socially distributed = knowledge creation in diverse forms, in diverse places and by diverse actors → socially inclusive
- Reflexive = research as dialogic process and co-creation between all actors ('multi-actor')
- New rewarding and assessment systems = quality control transcending classical peer review, old taxonomies in science + multi-actor means multi-quality
- Competencies for researchers, extensionists, policymakers, end-users → important role for education + resources to be invested by actors

Thank you to EC, SCAR, SCAR Foresight Group and all participants of the workshops, expert meetings and working groups for their input

